## **Introduced by Senator Dutton**

February 16, 2011

An act to amend Section 11346.3 of the Government Code, relating to regulations.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 400, as introduced, Dutton. Regulations: impact on businesses. Existing law, the Administrative Procedure Act, governs the procedure for the adoption, amendment, or repeal of regulations by state agencies and for the review of those regulatory actions by the Office of Administrative Law. Existing law requires an agency that is proposing to adopt, amend, or repeal a regulation to perform an assessment of the potential for adverse economic impact on California business enterprises and individuals, as specified.

This bill would require that the impact assessment include specified additional criteria. The bill would also require the agency to submit economic assessments for certain regulations to the office for purposes of reviewing them and determining whether the assessment is based upon sound economic knowledge, methods, and practices, as specified. The bill would also require the office to reject a regulation that is based upon an economic assessment that was determined to not be based on sound economic knowledge, methods, and practices, as specified.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:* 

1 SECTION 1. Section 11346.3 of the Government Code is 2 amended to read:

SB 400 — 2 —

11346.3. (a) State agencies proposing to adopt, amend, or repeal any administrative regulation shall assess the potential for adverse economic impact on California business enterprises and individuals, avoiding the imposition of unnecessary or unreasonable regulations or reporting, recordkeeping, or compliance requirements. For purposes of this subdivision, assessing the potential for adverse economic impact shall require agencies, when proposing to adopt, amend, or repeal a regulation, to adhere to the following requirements, to the extent that these requirements do not conflict with other state or federal laws:

- (1) The proposed adoption, amendment, or repeal of a regulation shall be based on adequate information concerning the need for, and consequences of, proposed governmental action.
- (2) The state agency, prior to submitting a proposal to adopt, amend, or repeal a regulation to the office, shall-consider estimate the proposal's impact on business, with consideration of industries affected including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. For purposes of evaluating the impact on the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states, an agency shall consider, but not be limited to, information supplied by interested parties.

It is not the intent of this section to impose additional criteria on agencies, above that which exists in current law, in assessing adverse economic impact on California business enterprises, but only to assure that the assessment is made early in the process of initiation and development of a proposed adoption, amendment, or repeal of a regulation.

- (b) (1) All state agencies proposing to adopt, amend, or repeal any administrative regulations shall—assess whether do the following:
- 31 (A) Assess whether and to what extent it will affect the 32 following:
- 33 <del>(A)</del>
  - (i) The *short-term* and *long-term* creation or elimination of jobs *in individual sectors* within the State of California.
- 36 <del>(B)</del>
- 37 (ii) The creation of new businesses or the elimination of existing 38 businesses within the State of California.
- 39 <del>(C)</del>

\_3\_ SB 400

(iii) The expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California.

- (iv) The cost of enforcement to the agency and regulated entities.
- (B) Assess whether the proposed regulation is inconsistent or incompatible with, or duplicative of, the regulations of the agency or other federal, state, or local regulatory entity.
- (C) Make a determination, with supporting information, that no alternative considered by the agency would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be 9 equally effective and less burdensome to effected private persons than the proposed regulation.
- (D) An explanation setting forth the reasons for rejecting any proposed alternatives that would lessen the adverse economic impact on small businesses.
- (2) This subdivision does not apply to the University of California, the Hastings College of the Law, or the Fair Political Practices Commission.
- (3) Information required from state agencies for the purpose of completing the assessment may come from existing state publications.
- (c) No administrative regulation adopted on or after January 1, 1993, that requires a report shall apply to businesses, unless the state agency adopting the regulation makes a finding that it is necessary for the health, safety, or welfare of the people of the state that the regulation apply to businesses.
- (d) (1) Prior to releasing a notice of proposed action as described by Section 11346.5, the agency shall submit the assessment required by this section to the office. For purposes of a proposed regulation with an estimated impact of one hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000) or more, the office shall review the economic assessment and determine, within 30 days, whether it is based upon sound economic knowledge, methods, and practices. The office shall be authorized to contract with a third-party reviewer to conduct the review in the manner described in this paragraph and adopt the findings of that third-party reviewer.
- (2) A stakeholder may petition the office to review the assessment for a proposed regulation that has an estimated cost below one hundred million dollars (\$1000,000,000). The director of the office shall be authorized, in his or her sole discretion, to approve or deny the petition. If the petition is approved, the

SB 400 —4—

1 assessment shall be reviewed in the same manner prescribed by 2 paragraph (1).

(e) Pursuant to Section 11349.3, the office shall not approve a regulation that does not satisfy the requirements of this section, including, but not limited to, conducting the assessment required by subdivisions (a) and (b) and the review of the assessment required by subdivision (d). The office shall also reject a regulation that is submitted to the office for approval, if the office determined, based on the assessment review it conducted or the assessment review conducted by a third-party reviewer and adopted by the office, that the assessment was not based upon sound economic knowledge, methods, and practices, and the submitting agency did not revise its assessment to address the deficiencies.